Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 10/31/2017 4:22:25 PM Filing ID: 102355 Accepted 10/31/2017 ORDER NO. 4195

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners: Robert G. Taub, Chairman;

Mark Acton, Vice Chairman; Tony Hammond; and Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices Global Plus 1E (MC2018-7) Negotiated Service Agreements Docket No. CP2018-13

ORDER APPROVING ADDITIONAL GLOBAL PLUS 1E NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

(Issued October 31, 2017)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service seeks to include an additional Global Plus 1E agreement (Agreement) within the Global Plus 1E product.¹ For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Postal Service's request.

II. BACKGROUND

Agreements under the Global Plus 1E product offer volume-based prices to Postal Qualified Wholesalers and other high-volume mailers that market mailing

¹ Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 1E Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, October 13, 2017 (Notice).

services to their end-use customers who ship mail and articles via any combination of the following: International Priority Airmail, International Surface Air Lift, and Commercial ePacket.

On October 13, 2017, in accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5, the Postal Service filed its Notice, along with supporting documents. In the Notice, the Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement for the Global Plus 1E product and requests that the Agreement be added to the existing Global Plus 1E product. Notice at 3. Among the supporting documents, the Postal Service included a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the Global Plus 1E product, the Agreement proposed to be added to the product, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a), and financial workpapers. In addition, the Postal Service submitted an application for non-public treatment of materials requesting that unredacted portions of the Agreement, customer-identifying information, and related financial information remain under seal. *Id.* Attachment 4.

The Agreement is intended to take effect November 1, 2017. Notice at 3. It is set to expire October 31, 2018. *See id.*

On October 16, 2017, the Commission issued a notice establishing the instant docket, appointing a Public Representative, and providing interested persons with an opportunity to comment.²

III. COMMENTS

The Public Representative filed comments on October 23, 2017.³ No other comments were received.

Based upon a review of the Postal Service's filing, including the information filed under seal with the Commission, the Public Representative concludes that the contract

² See Docket No. CP2017-232, *et al.*, Notice Initiating Docket(s) for Recent Postal Service Negotiated Service Agreement Filings, October 16, 2017.

³ Public Representative Comments on a Postal Service Notice Concerning an Additional Global Plus 1E Negotiated Service Agreement, October 23, 2017 (PR Comments).

should generate sufficient revenue to cover its costs. PR Comments at 3. He additionally agrees with the Postal Service that the differences between the contract and the baseline agreement do not alter the conclusion that the two contracts are functionally equivalent. *Id.*

IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Commission's responsibilities in this case are to ensure that the Agreement: (1) is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement established for the Global Plus 1E product; and (2) satisfies the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules (39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7).

Functional equivalence. In Order No. 4194, the Commission added the Global Plus 1E product to the competitive product list and designated a baseline agreement for assessing the functional equivalence of other agreements proposed for inclusion within the Global Plus 1E product.⁴

The Postal Service asserts that its filing demonstrates that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement, and requests that the Agreement be included within the Global Plus 1E product. Notice at 3. It asserts that the Agreement fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for the Global Plus 1E product. *Id.* The Postal Service also asserts that the Agreement and the baseline agreement possess similar cost and market characteristics and the same functional terms but states that prices may differ. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service identifies differences between the Agreement and the baseline agreement but asserts that these differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.⁵

⁴ Docket Nos. MC2018-7 and CP2018-12, Order Adding Global Plus 1E to the Competitive Product List and Approval of Designation as Baseline Agreement, October 31, 2017 (Order No. 4194).

⁵ Differences are limited to customer-specific information and the negotiated rates and tender provisions. *Id.* at 5.

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's reasons for asserting that the Agreement shares similar cost and market characteristics with the baseline agreement; meets the pricing formula and falls within the classification established in the Governors' Decision authorizing this product; and comports with 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules. It also has considered the Public Representative's comments. The Commission concludes that the Agreement is substantially similar to the baseline agreement and that the differences between them do not fundamentally alter either the service the Postal Service will provide under the Agreement or the structure of the Agreement. The Commission therefore finds that the Agreement may be included within the Global Plus 1E product.

Cost considerations. The Commission reviews each competitive product to ensure that it covers its attributable costs, does not cause market dominant products to subsidize competitive products as a whole, and contributes to the Postal Service's institutional costs. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a); 39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7. As long as the revenue generated by a product exceeds its attributable costs, the product is unlikely to reduce the contribution of competitive products as a whole or to adversely affect the ability of competitive products as a whole to contribute an appropriate share of institutional costs. In other words, a product that covers its attributable costs is likely to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's filing, including supporting financial analyses provided under seal, and the Public Representative's comments. Based on this review, the Commission finds that the Agreement should cover its attributable costs. Consequently, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the Global Plus 1E product should allow the product to continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(2). Because it finds that the addition of the Agreement to the Global Plus 1E product should allow the product to cover its attributable costs, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the product should not result in competitive products as a whole being subsidized by market dominant products, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1). Similarly, it finds the addition of the

Agreement to the Global Plus 1E product is unlikely to prevent competitive products as a whole from contributing an appropriate share of institutional costs, consistent with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(3). Accordingly, a preliminary review of the Agreement indicates it is consistent with section 3633(a). The Commission will review the Agreement's cost coverage, the cost coverage of the Global Plus 1E product, and the contribution of competitive products as a whole to the Postal Service's institutional costs in the Annual Compliance Determination to ensure that they continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

Other considerations. The Agreement is intended to take effect November 1, 2017. Notice at 3. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission should there be a change in the effective date of the Agreement. It is set to expire October 31, 2018. See id.

If the Agreement is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date, the Postal Service shall promptly file notice of such termination with the Commission in this docket.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is ordered:

- The Agreement filed in Docket No. CP2018-13 is included within the Global Plus 1E (MC2018-7) product. The revision to the Mail Classification Schedule appears below the signature of this Order and is effective immediately.
- 2. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission should there be a change in the effective date of the Agreement.

3. The Postal Service shall promptly file notice of the Agreement's termination with the Commission in this docket if the Agreement is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble Secretary

CHANGES TO THE MAIL CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE

The following material represents a change to the Mail Classification Schedule. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the Mail Classification Schedule. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

Part B—Competitive Products	
2500 *****	Negotiated Service Agreements
2510 *****	Outbound International
2510.6 *****	Global Plus Contracts
2510.6.6	Products Included in Group (Agreements)

Each product is followed by a list of agreements included within that product.

Docket No. CP2016-163
PRC Order No. 3395, June 29, 2016
Included Agreements

CR2018 13, expires October 31, 201

<u>CP2018-13, expires October 31, 2018</u>